5615 23rd Street S.W. Vero Beach, FL. 32968

Phone (772) 299-3290

FAX (772) 299-3568

May 18, 2003

Bill Albaugh **Highway Operations** Florida Department of Transportation 605 Suwannee Street MS 31 Tallahassee, FL. 32399-5200

Arbitration Order 1 / 2003 Re

DOT Fin Project No. 219850-1-52-01

Leon County Florida

Dear Bill:

Find enclosed Arbitration Order 1 / 2003 for the above captioned project. A copy of the transcript is enclosed, and copies of the Contractors submittal and the Department rebuttal are being kept by Freddie Simmons for your use.

Sincerely;

State Arbitration Board

Chairman and Clerk

Cc: All Board Members

DAS DAS

After review, give it to
Marry so that she can
scan this in

Aranh

Order No. 1-2003

S.A.B. CLERK
MAY 1 6 2003
FILED

/// **NOTICE**///

In the case of Mitchell Brothers, Incorporated versus the Florida Department of Transportation on Financial Project No. 219850-1-52-01 in Leon County, Florida, both parties are advised that the State Arbitration Board Order 1-2003 has been properly filed with The Clerk of the State Arbitration Board on May 9, 2003

John W. Nutbrown

Chairman & Clerk, S.A.B.

Copy of Order & Transcript to:

Bill Albaugh, Highway Operations

Eddie M. Mitchell, Jr., Mitchell Brothers, Inc.

Order No. 1-2003

RE: Request for Arbitration Mitchell Brothers, Inc. State Financial Project No. 219850-1-52-01 in

Leon County, Florida

The following members of the State Arbitration Board participated:

John W. Nutbrown, Chairman Freddie Simmons, Board Member John Norton, Board Member

Pursuant to a written notice, a hearing was held on a request for arbitration commencing at 9:00 AM, Friday, January 24, 2003

The Contractor, Mitchell Brothers, Incorporated, presented a written request for arbitration of its claim in the total amount of \$204,997.18. The claim arises out of 5 different areas of contention. The Board will outline and address each area of the claim package separately. The Department of Transportation presented a written rebuttal and summary of position. The Board has considered the written submissions and the testimony and evidence presented at the hearing on January 24, 2003 and enters this Order Number 1-2003

ORDER

The Board is unanimous in this decision.

The project involves the resurfacing, retaining wall, concrete sidewalk, Street-Print installation and other incidental items of construction on S.R.-366 (Pensacola Street) from Monroe Street to Martin Luther King Boulevard in Leon County, Florida. The claim package as presented to the Board is broken out in a number of sections. The Board will address each separately.

Area 1

On February 11, 2000 Mitchell Brothers, Original contract time was 80 calendar days. Incorporated (MBI) requested revised drawing relating to the installation of the concrete sidewalk and the impact of existing large trees. On March 3, 2000 the Contractor received further plan changes relating to concrete sidewalk, concrete retaining walls as well as other items discussed in the meeting on February 11, 2000. Contractors claim submittal stated the project could not be completed on time as almost 30 days of delay had been encountered by the revisions.

After thorough review a deliberation the Board finds the Contractor is due an additional 26 calendar days and directs the Department to compensate the Contractor \$39,534.30.

Area 2

On March 2, 2000 (MBI) was directed to install temporary sidewalk in order to facilitate pedestrian traffic during the annual Legislative Session. This same sidewalk was removed on

Order No. 1-2003

May 10, 2000. The Contractor was issued a Unilateral Agreement to cover the out of pocket costs but claims he was not given any additional time.

The Board finds the Contractor is due compensation for the 2 calendar days involved in this item and directs the Department to compensate the Contractor \$3,041.10.

Area 3

Project specification for the project called for installation of the Street-Print in a manner which had been discontinued and changed by the manufacturer of the system. The new installation system required reheating the asphaltic concrete surface, placing the templates and tamping the pattern into the surface with a plate tamp. This process took 85 calendar days from the milling of existing surface to the completion of the textured surface some 5 calendar days longer than was allowed for the entire project.

During the hearing testimony by the Department representative stated the finish product as installed by the Contractor was a very good looking job. The Board heard testimony the installation method had been changed due to the fact the templates had a tendency to move or slip when the roller moved onto the template and allowing the mat to cool prior to placing the template made it difficult to get a good imprint.

Testimony given by the Contractor stated the method of installation was changed to installing the templates after the original mat had been placed and completed. The asphalt surface was reheated using a surface heater, the template placed and a plate tamp used to transfer the pattern to the surface. The original surface was placed in 2 calendar days and an excellent riding surface was achieved, however the Street-Print installation took from May 30, 2000 to August 12, 2000 and required closing down the entire intersection which was only allowed on weekends. The Street-Print installation required a series of surface coatings each having to cure properly before the next could be applied.

The Board finds the Contractor is due compensation for 75 days involved in the Street-Print installation and directs the Department to compensate the Contractor \$114,041.25.

Area 4

The Contractor has requested additional contract time due to the fact the model of decorative bench was no longer manufactured and a substitution had to be submitted. The Contractor did not order the original benches until February 25, 2000 and received notification from the manufacturer on March 3, 2000 of the unavailability. The substitution was granted by the Department on April 5, 2000 and the benches were delivered and installed on September 25, 2000.

The Board in review of this item found the contract contained a procurement period prior to the start of contract time. The Contractor entered into the contract of September 19, 1999 and contract time started January 15, 2000. Had the Contractor ordered the benches during this procurement period no delay would have been encountered.

The Board has not included this time and makes no award in this matter.

Order No. 1-2003

Area 5

The Contractor requested contract time postponed until after the Martin Luther King Holiday, a period of three days which the Department refused.

The Board has not included this time and makes no award in this matter.

The Department is ordered to compensate the Contractor in the amount of \$195,145.00 which includes interest at the statutory rate since September 25, 2000.

The Department shall reimburse the State Arbitration Board \$348.50 for court reporting costs.

Lake Worth, Florida

Dated April 27, 2003

Certified copy:

John W. Nutbrown

Chairman & Clerk

John W. Nutbrown

Chairman & Clerk

Freddie Simmons, P.E.

Board Member

John C. Norton

Board Member

STATE ARBITRATION BOARD STATE OF FLORIDA

MITCHELL BROTHERS, INC.,

- and -

PROJECT NUMBER 219850-1-52-01

LOCATION: Leon County, Florida

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

COPY

PROCEEDINGS:

Arbitration in the Above Matter

DATE:

Friday, January 24, 2003

PLACE:

Florida Transportation Center

1007 Desoto Park Drive Tallahassee, Florida

TIME:

Commenced at 9:11 a.m. Concluded at 10:28 a.m.

REPORTED BY:

Mindy Martin, RPR

Notary Public in and for

the State of Florida at Large

Wilkinson & Associates Certified Court Reporters Post Office Box 13461 Tallahassee, Florida 32317

APPEARANCES:

MEMBERS OF THE STATE ARBITRATION BOARD:

Mr. John W. Nutbrown, Chairman Mr. John C. Norton Mr. Freddie Simmons

ON BEHALF OF THE CONTRACTOR:

Mr. Timothy R. Avitable
Ms. Kathy Hethcox
Mr. Eddie M. Mitchell, Jr.

ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:

Mr. Archie Montgomery
Mr. Tim Shafer

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. Bob Gwynn

INDEX

EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. 1 in Exhibit No. 2 in Exhibit No. 3 in	Evidence	3 6 6
--	----------	-------------

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

1	<u>P</u>	R	0	С	Е	Е	D	I	N	G	<u>S</u>
---	----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------

MR. NUTBROWN: This is a hearing of the State

Arbitration Board, established in accordance with Section

337.185 of the Florida Statutes. Mr. Freddie Simmons was

appointed as a member of the board by the Secretary of the

Department of Transportation. Mr. John Norton was elected

by the construction companies under contract to the

Department of Transportation. These two members chose me,

John Nutbrown, to serve as the third member of the board

and as chairman. Our terms expire June 30th, 2003.

Will each person who will make oral presentations during the hearing please raise right your right hand and be sworn in.

(Whereupon, the witnesses were duly sworn.)

MR. NUTBROWN: The request for arbitration of a claim submitted by the claimant, including all attachments thereto, and the administrative documents preceding this hearing are hereby introduced as Exhibit 1. That's both of these notebooks.

(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 1 was received in evidence.)

MR. NUTBROWN: Do either of the parties have any other information that you wish to put into the record as an exhibit at this time?

MR. AVITABLE: I have a couple letters from a StreetPrint representative I'd like to --

1 MR. NUTBROWN: Do you have copies for everybody? 2 MR. AVITABLE: No, I don't. So I just --3 MR. NUTBROWN: Jack, you want to run those upstairs 4 and make copies of those and I'll go ahead. 5 We will identify each additional exhibit and assign a 6 number for the record and offer the parties time to examine 7 these documents, once he gets back with copies, submitted 8 by the other party. 9 During the hearing the parties may offer such 10 evidence and testimony as is pertinent and material to the dispute being considered by the board and shall produce 11 12 such additional evidence as the board may deem necessary to 13 an understanding of the matter before it. 14 The board shall be the sole judge of the relevance 15 and the materiality of the evidence ordered. Parties are 16 instructed to assure that they receive properly identified 17 copies of each exhibit used in this proceeding. You should retain these exhibits. The board will send the parties a 18 19 copy of the court reporter's transcript, along with our 20 order, but we will not furnish copies of these exhibits. 21 As is typical in arbitration proceedings, this 22 hearing will be conducted in a very informal manner. The board is not required to apply a legalistic approach or 23 24 strictly apply the Rules of Evidence used in civil court

25

proceedings. We are primarily looking for information in

regard to the facts and the contract provisions that apply
to the case. The order of the proceeding will be for the
claimant to present their claim and then for the
respondent's rebuttal.

Either party may interrupt to bring out pertinent information. And if you do that, I would prefer that you ask the chairman, and then I'll recognize you so we can keep it in an orderly form.

In addition to that, this young lady up here has only got ten fingers, I think, and a recorder. And she can only hear one person at a time. So I would appreciate, if you have a point, raise your hand, I'll recognize you, and we'll get it in, but don't try to talk over somebody else. And I would appreciate it if you didn't talk across the table.

I would take it that there are no attorneys here.

Okay. And with that, once Mr. Norton gets back and we get these copies distributed, we'll go ahead and get started.

(Pause)

MR. NUTBROWN: Exhibit Number 2 will be for the package presented by Carolina Paving Concepts. And Exhibit 3 will be a fax package, I think they're both -- no, they're not -- a fax package from StreetPrint dated January 20th. And the first package is dated January 6th. That will be Exhibits 2 and 3.

```
1
           (Whereupon, Exhibits No. 2 and No. 3 were received in
  2
      evidence.)
  3
           (Pause)
  4
                 MR. NUTBROWN: Mr. Shafer, have you had a chance to
  5
           examine the material?
  6
                 MR. SHAFER: Yes, sir.
  7
                 MR. NUTBROWN: With that, we'll go ahead and open the
  8
           hearing.
                    And the claimant, being Mitchell Brothers, Inc.,
  9
          we'll let you go ahead and present your portion of it. And
10
          then once that is done and we've asked questions, we'll let
11
          the Department present theirs.
12
                 MR. AVITABLE:
                                Go ahead and start?
13
                MR. NUTBROWN:
                                Go ahead.
14
                MR. AVITABLE:
                                Okay. I guess the job basically that
15
          we're talking about, everybody knows, was called Pensacola
16
          Beautification. And it just really involved landscaping,
17
          side walls, retaining walls, that type work, and a
          StreetPrint application over the asphalt, which was part of
18
19
          the, I guess part of the reason why we're here.
20
                The specifications and everything said that the
          StreetPrint could be, the way the plans showed it, it was
21
          being installed right behind the paving process. And I
22
          think we submitted everything showing that we milled the
23
          project and did the paving in a weekend, which time-wise,
24
```

according to the specifications, the Streetprint, which we

subcontracted out, should have been able to be done right behind the paving.

And our contention, one of our contentions is that that process, just whenever they allotted time for this project, that's kind of the way they figured the time. And then the way the StreetPrint is now done, that's not the way they prefer.

You know, Mr. Gwynn, he's here, our subcontractor, he can you tell you, is that that's not the way they recommend it anymore. So originally when they might have could have done the StreetPrint in two days, it ended up being a different type process, which they now recommend, as these letters show, that something that will be a better product. And I think everybody is happy with the way the job came out. It's just the additional time it took to do some of the work.

Now, the other items that we had, you know, different delays and so forth, I think a lot of that, you know, you could almost say it's resolved. It's really not -- it's just this one part of it took a lot of extra time. And I don't think we, you know, we really didn't get any time for it, and that's kind of the holdup or the problem.

There was another area, the benches, where we had a lengthy time process on acquiring some benches that were misdirected in the plans. They stated one model and it

ended up being another model that was made available or substituted. And I think that was a real lengthy process.

And we asked for a certain amount of time on that.

And the time that we asked for, they were, I think, the

Department was willing to give us that. But now the

problem is that, you know, since we weren't able to get any

time that we asked for on the StreetPrint, you know, there

was possibly more delays in that area than what we asked

for. And it just kind of depends on how they want to look

at it.

I guess the letters, mainly the main thing is just these letters show that the StreetPrint is really a process now, it shows in the letters, that the new, people that are newly licensed now for this process, they don't even, they require them to buy a reheater, which that in itself shows you how much they think of coming back later and reheating the asphalt and doing it at a later time.

The work mainly was done, and I'll let Bob talk for a second, but mainly we started out doing the work during the days. But the time during the week only allowed for like 9 or 9:30 in the morning to 4:00 in the afternoon.

And Bob will tell you he wasn't able to, there was no way he could even paint it and have it dry and not have traffic track it up during the week. So his work, most of the painting was done on the weekends. And we had off-duty

out there. And we blocked the sections off. And he painted. And, I mean, it all went good. It was just something that, it couldn't all be done in a weekend, painting and so forth, like the plans seemed to indicate.

And Bob, he works with Peavy and Son. You know, they did a real good job. And I don't think there's any question about, you know, the product. I think it's just a matter of how long it took.

We basically got, from the first day we milled to the last day they got done was 85 days. And the whole time originally allotted for the job was 80 days. And they followed -- Bob, if I'm not mistaken, you all are up-to-date on the, you all are doing the most recommended methods of doing that type work, right?

MR. GWYNN: (Nodding head affirmatively)

MR. AVITABLE: So, I mean, they're doing it the way. I've got in my notes they missed one weekend for a funeral. Somebody, one of their, someone on their crew had a funeral. And then also one weekend, Claude Brown, who was the project manager at the time, we didn't work one weekend due to FSU graduation. That was on August 5th. So that weekend basically -- and Claude told me as far as times goes, if time became an issue on this project, that he would give me that week for not working. Of course he's not here now so that's only what I'm saying.

1	So we took off one weekend for the FSU graduation,
2	which was at the Civic Center, which was right next to
3	where we were working. And we didn't want to block the
4	intersections off with that going on. And the other
5	weekend we missed due to their, at their request. That
6	didn't have anything to do with the Department or traffic.
7	So it was one of each. But the whole time consumed 85 days
8	from start to finish compared to two days, as they say,
9	behind the paver.
10	MR. NUTBROWN: I have a question. Did this contract
11	have a procurement period prior to the starting date of the
12	contract time?
13	MR. AVITABLE: Uh-huh.
14	MR. NUTBROWN: How long was that period?
15	MR. AVITABLE: Sixty days.
16	MR. NUTBROWN: Sixty days?
17	MR. AVITABLE: Right. To the best of my knowledge,
18	that's what I remember, 60 days.
19	MR. NUTBROWN: Tom, can you tell us, was it 60 or 90
20	days
21	MR. SHAFER: I thought it was the 90 days.
22	MR. NUTBROWN: the procurement period?
23	MR. MONTGOMERY: Right, printed on the contract.
24	MR. NUTBROWN: What was the total square footage of
25	the patterned area?

1	MR. AVITABLE: I don't remember. Bob, do you
2	remember offhand?
3	MR. GWYNN: I'd be guessing.
4	MR. AVITABLE: I think it was roughly 2,000 square
5	yards, close, but I can't remember exactly.
6	MR. SIMMONS: The job was like three blocks, wasn't
7	it, or something like that?
8	MR. AVITABLE: It was five intersections.
9	MR. SIMMONS: Five intersections? Okay. Four
10	blocks.
11	MR. SHAFER: I'm looking to see if there's a quantity
12	sheet that shows it.
13	MR. AVITABLE: I think the payout for the StreetPrint
14	was in the asphalt in the friction course. I don't know if
15	they give quantity.
16	MR. MITCHELL: Our situation on that was, basically,
17	when the job was bid, it was understood that you could, you
18	know, feasibly mill it and pave it and put the StreetPrint
19	behind it in approximately two days. That was the way we
20	considered it.
21	MR. NUTBROWN: The only place that the StreetPrint
22	was put in was in the intersections; is that correct?
23	MR. MITCHELL: Right, five intersections, the whole
24	intersection.

MR. NUTBROWN: Just a big circle.

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. So anyway, that's basically the way we looked at the job. And when it come time to do the work, once we started, you know, getting quotes and doing contracts on it, that's when all this came up about you're not going to be able to -- or we're not going to be able to print this behind you, you know, because you're going to pave it much faster than we're going to be able to print it. That's what, you know, the subs were saying, the people quoting the print.

So in actuality, what happened, when we went out there to do the work, we did mill it and we did pave it in two days. We did what we were to do in two days. But physically, the StreetPrint couldn't be put on in two days. And in order to do it and even do a -- I mean, once we got out there and seen how this was done, it would almost, to me, it would almost have been impossible for them to have done that behind us, milling and paving it.

MR. AVITABLE: No way.

MR. MITCHELL: It just wouldn't have been possible, I don't think. So therefore --

MR. NORTON: Were you able to close these intersections down completely, or did you have to do them in halves or fourths?

MR. MITCHELL: The only time they'd let us close them down was on weekends. Therefore we couldn't work -- we

could only work, they could only do the StreetPrint on weekends. So, you know, they'd do it on a weekend. And then they'd have to wait until the next weekend to do some more. And it was a slow process.

And not only did they have to put the print in, they had to put several layers of paint on top of the print.

And each of those layers had to dry before they could put another layer. And all this had to be done on weekends.

And they had to keep traffic off of it, you know, until it dried, and they went through the different processes. And, you know, that was basically what we were up against.

And our only alternative was we've got to do it this way. You know, we can't -- matter of fact, they refused.

I mean, we tried to get them, we said, we've got, you need to come and do this behind the paver.

Would you like to get somebody else to do it, then.

We ain't going to do it. You can't do it. Just won't -
we'd have an unacceptable job or it would, you know, just

physically have been impossible for them to print it, paint

it, let it dry, paint it again, and all this, you know, as

quick as we were paving it.

And if we tried to slow the paving process down that slow to where it took, you know, four or five weeks or ten weeks to do the paving, then the paving would have been, it would have been the biggest patched-up mess you ever saw.

So we physically did the job the way it had to be done, the best way that you could do it, and ended up with a good product, a real nice-looking product, but we did it the way it had to be done.

Now, previous to this job, we ran into a job up on Monroe Street where we put in crosswalks with brick.

I don't know if you all are familiar with it, but uptown we put in brick pavers across Monroe Street. Well, that job called for 10 or 12 inches of asphalt. You had to dig out the areas. All this had to be done at night, all right.

You had to start at 6:00 in the afternoon. You had to be off the road by 5:00 or 6:00 the next morning. You had to remove the asphalt, dig out a trench about 15 inches deep, compact it, stabilize it, put in, it was either 10 or 12 inches of hot asphalt, then come back and put brick on top of the asphalt, and then turn traffic on it at 6:00 the next morning or at 7:00, whatever time that might have been.

Well, the first night we did that, we went out and did it, and we did it according to the specs. And the first night we did that, we realized there ain't no way this is going to work. We're putting in 10 or 12 inches of hot asphalt just like the plans called for, you know, and we got it in and we got density, we got a lane ready, we got brick on it, and here we go putting traffic on it the

1 next morning, you know.

The asphalt is still hot. It took, you know, a couple of, it took two or three days for that 12 inches of asphalt up underneath there to ever cool down. And what happened was the traffic coming over that stuff was starting to move the, you know, (indicating). It wasn't, it wasn't staying there because the hot asphalt underneath was not cured and cold.

DOT, wrote letters and everything else, saying this isn't going to work. We need to change this from asphalt to quick-set concrete. You know, we can do the job, but we need to change it from hot asphalt to quick-set concrete so that, you know, we can pour this thing with concrete, and it will cured in two or three hours. Then we can lay our brick on it. And the next morning we can put traffic on it. And we'll have a, you know, an acceptable job. But the way we're doing it, this thing is not going to hold up.

So anyway, our request was rejected. And we were told, you know, you just do it the way the plans are. So we proceeded on to do that whole job --

- MR. SHAFER: Excuse me.
- MR. NUTBROWN: Okay.
- MR. MITCHELL: -- all the way up and down Monroe

```
1
           Street just like the plans called for. And it was a
  2
           nightmare. For the next two or three years, that stuff
  3
          moved around. They put it in the newspapers, blamed it on
  4
          Mitchell Brothers --
 5
                 MR. NUTBROWN: Eddie, I'm going to interrupt you,
 6
          because I don't really feel that that's totally pertinent
 7
          to what we're talking about here and --
 8
                MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Well, I quess what I'm saying
 9
          is, when this thing came up, we tried to do it the way that
10
          it called for. And I'm sorry, you know, I got into that,
11
          but I guess that was, what we did on this job here is we
12
          did it the way it had to be done, and we did it the way,
13
          the only way it could be done and ended up with something
14
          that I would be proud of, DOT would be proud of, and the
15
          way it should have been done.
16
                MR. NUTBROWN:
                               Okay.
17
                MR. MITCHELL:
                               I'm not going to say it's impossible
18
          to have done it the way they talked about, but I've almost
19
          got to believe it would have been.
20
                MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. Freddie, have you got a
21
          question?
22
                              If you had done it the way the specs
                MR. SIMMONS:
23
          had it, you know, right behind the machine, could you have
24
          done it in the two days even that way?
```

MR. MITCHELL: I don't think --

1	MR. AVITABLE: Bob said he couldn't do it.
2	MR. GWYNN: (Shaking head negatively)
3	MR. MITCHELL: I'll be glad we have Bob here.
4	MR. AVITABLE: We wouldn't have been able to pave it
5	the way they would have, you know, to where they could have
6	stamped it. In other words, we would have had to have
7	paved a fourth of the intersection at a time. And we would
8	have had joints, I mean, it just would have been a mess.
9	MR. NUTBROWN: Go ahead.
10	MR. GWYNN: I'm Bob Gwynn. I head up the StreetPrint
11	division for Peavy and Son. Let me just throw this out and
12	explain some of what Eddie and Tim are saying.
13	I'm assuming that all of you all are familiar with a
14	paving operation, all right? When I go in to do a
15	StreetPrint job, I use a template. I'm going to say this
16	is my template, whatever design that you all are set out to
17	use. All right.
18	First of all, we have to do our grade work or not
19	grade work but our layout work to see how the pattern is
20	going to lay in a particular area. Okay. Here's my
21	template. If I was to try to lay my pattern behind his
22	paving crew, for him to do his paving, he has got to use a
23	steel wheel roller to seal his asphalt.
24	If I go in there and lay my template on his asphalt
25	and he comes in with his roller, the first thing he's going

```
1
           to do is hit my template and scoot it (indicating).
  2
           that scoots, there goes your pattern. We have never -- and
  3
           this is a little history. Year before last I was -- well,
  4
           not I, we was the number one applicator in the United
  5
           States. We done more StreetPrint than any other
  6
           applicator. So I kind of feel like we know what we're
  7
          doing.
 8
                We reheat, we use the template, we do not use a
 9
          roller. We use a plate tamp. That way we can control our
10
          template. But going back with a roller, there's no way to
11
          anchor your template to keep that roller from pushing it.
12
                MR. SIMMONS: Do you do all the projects you all do
13
          now totally reheat?
14
                MR. GWYNN: Yes, sir, always have.
15
                MR. SIMMONS: For how long have you been doing it
16
          that way? Because I assume originally --
17
                MR. GWYNN: We've never --
18
                MR. SIMMONS: You've never done it --
19
                MR. GWYNN: Well, okay, let me, and I wasn't going to
20
          go into this, but look at it from our standpoint, not
21
          Eddie's, but our standpoint, is we are also paving
22
          contractors. All right.
23
                You can't stop a paving train, as I call it, to put
24
         down a template. I mean, it just, it just doesn't work
```

that way. You'll have trucks sitting there. Your asphalt

1 will get cold. Because you have to let your asphalt cool 2 just to get out there and put your layout. But we've 3 always reheated. 4 MR. NUTBROWN: Okav. 5 MR. SIMMONS: The other question I had was whenever 6 you realized that you couldn't do it the way the specs had 7 it and the StreetPrint, you know, company and them had said 8 we don't do it this way, it ain't going to work or 9 whatever, I didn't see anything in what you all gave us

Well, did you all have something back then? Because you've got it now with these letters, but I didn't see anything at that time saying that.

where you presented DOT with information at that time from

MR. AVITABLE: Want me to answer?

the company saying you can't do it that way.

MR. NUTBROWN: Go ahead.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVITABLE: I mean, they're not, even these letters, he doesn't say that you can't do that. He's just saying they don't recommend it. The point he made in here, one part about if they only allowed people now to reheat, all the people that, you know, he would lose a lot of business that way where they just don't recommend it. They just don't feel like they can get as good a job.

And when I found out that it was all reheat, originally, the guy that was in Florida, and I took him

over to Claude's office, who was the project engineer, and tried to get them -- so I said since we're reheating, let's just reheat what's there, because we had done a job several years ago eliminating the paving part of it.

But then we realized that one of the problems was the paving for the StreetPrint was in the asphalt. So we didn't see how to get around that. And another thing was we didn't, they didn't know if the asphalt had been down too long, down several years. But he felt like putting down the new asphalt, since it wasn't going behind the paver, wasn't really necessary, was his point.

But the paving in the, the paving was linked in the friction course to the StreetPrint. So there's really not a separate pay item for the StreetPrint. So that kind of eliminated that idea.

MR. MITCHELL: I don't know what written information we have on that, but it was thoroughly discussed and whatever. I mean, back then, as far as, I feel like we probably got some letters, but I do know for a fact being involved in it at that time that, you know, there was extensive conversation between Peavy and Son and Mitchell and DOT and the project engineers and the StreetPrint people.

And all this was, in other words, we just didn't go out there and do this. We worked it out and worked out

1	doing it on weekends and having inspectors there and the
2	whole process. And it wasn't something that just, you
3	know, we just went out and did.
4	MR. SIMMONS: The other question is with it taking
5	the 85 days or whatever and there being five
6	intersections, right?
7	MR. AVITABLE: (Nodding head affirmatively)
8	MR. SIMMONS: So 85 days covers about 12 weeks. So
9	that ended up two plus weekends per intersection. How did
10	you do that? I mean, how did you phase that if you were
11	just working weekends? Did you just do half of the roadway
12	or something?
13	MR. GWYNN: Okay. The way we like to do it is we
14	like to go in and do all of our stamping, get all of our
15	stamping behind us, all right? Then we come back and we
16	coat it.
17	Now, I think if you'll look back at the some of your
18	time sheets here, we would start at like 5:30, 5:00 in the
19	morning.
20	MR. SIMMONS: This was on like a Saturday morning you
21	would start?
22	MR. GWYNN: Yes. Well, that was the only time
23	I could coat was on the weekend. It takes three coats.
24	You have to let each coat dry before you put on the other

coat. And then the last, the third coat being the sealer,

1 all right? If you're looking at rain within 24 hours, you 2 don't want to. 3 This stuff has cement in it, my coating, just like 4 In eight to ten hours it gets about 80 percent, 90 5 percent of its curing. Then it sits there for 28 days and 6 gets to 100 percent, just like concrete. All right. Now, 7 that's one. 8 All right. We used multiple colors. When I say 9 that, these intersections has two different colors. Well, 10 I paint one color. Maybe go down to the next 11 intersection. Paint the same color. Then I'd come back up 12 and let, when all of it is dry, I'd paint the other color. 13 So now you've got another time delay. You can't, you can't 14 paint over the other. You have to tape it and that, da da 15 da da da, to keep it from pulling off. So that takes a 16 little more time. 17 MR. SIMMONS: So a lot of it is curing and drying? 18 MR. GWYNN: Yeah. It's like sitting there watching 19 paint dry. But if you turn traffic on it and it's not, 20 then you've got a mess. 21 MR. NUTBROWN: Does Mitchell Brothers have any other 22 comments? And I'll let the Department make their 23 presentation. Then we can go back and --24 MR. NORTON: I've got one more question.

MR. NUTBROWN: All right.

MR. NORTON: How big are your templates that you're using to put this stuff down?

MR. GWYNN: They vary. I've got some that's like so wide that I use for a border (indicating). Then I've got some that's like 6 feet by 12 feet or 10 by 12. It really depends on the pattern design or what I'm trying to accomplish as to what I use.

MR. NORTON: So what you're saying is that to lay out this stuff on hot asphalt, you can't just set it down after he gets his compaction, set it down, run a plate tamp over it to kind of anchor it, and then run it with a steel wheel roller?

MR. GWYNN: No, sir. No, sir. I wouldn't.

MR. NORTON: All right. Because that's the way the original specs says, that you will set it, in effect, set it, put a plate tamp on it. And apparently that was theoretically set in enough that you could then hit it with a roller and it wouldn't push it around.

MR. GWYNN: I've seen that roller in their brochures. And it looks like everything I was looking at was coming out of Europe. Now, I don't know how they do things over there. But we played with a roller in our shop. And it would not work. It just (indicating). It would scoot. And then you -- we call them grout lines, just like you're laying regular brick.

1	MR. NORTON: Uh-huh.
2	MR. GWYNN: Well, your grout lines, they'll get
3	crooked and all disordered and it just will not work.
4	MR. NUTBROWN: What is the makeup of the template
5	itself? Is it a rigid form or is it
6	MR. GWYNN: It's a wire rope, just
7	MR. NUTBROWN: Fastened together?
8	MR. GWYNN: Welded together. They're made in a jig.
9	And if they're supposed to be square, it's square. And
10	they're welded.
11	MR. NORTON: Like rebar? Thin?
12	MR. GWYNN: No. It's just, think of like wire rope.
13	It's flexible. You can bend it. You can roll it up. But
14	you have to have that so you can get your different pattern
15	designs. If it was just plumb rigid, then you couldn't go
16	around a tree or make a circle. I mean, we've put in
17	handicap emblems. I've got big circles. It's just
18	MR. NUTBROWN: Go ahead.
19	MR. AVITABLE: I want to make one other comment. And
20	Bob can fill me in and tell me if I'm wrong. As far as
21	just going behind the paver with hot asphalt and putting a
22	template down and putting it with a plate tamp, these were
23	all intersections downtown with a lot of traffic.
24	And we milled out, you know, an inch or good inch of
25	asphalt and then put a good solid inch back. But it's

still a road. So we had to pave it just like we were paving a highway. Because if we didn't, put a breakdown roll on it and rubber tire on it and a back roller on it and roll it, the proper way to pave something -- in other words, we paved it just like we were paving any other road.

By the time we are done with that back roller, that asphalt is probably only 150 degrees or 160 degrees. You can't put, and, Bob, correct me if I'm wrong, but you can't go at that point and put a plate tamp on it, a template and it hardly do anything.

And if he did it the other way and just put the template behind the asphalt, in a driveway it may be all right, but, Bob, what would happen out there in about a short amount of time with traffic driving on the asphalt that was compacted with a plate tamp, you're going to have a rutting -- I mean, an intersection especially.

So we had to pave the road the right way to pave, just like paving any other road. So by the time we're done paving it, I mean, it's just, we've got to get density all through, or that road is just going to be just a rutting mess. And by the time we're through with it, the asphalt, you know, when you get density, it's down to 160, 150, I don't see how that process would ever work on a road like that. That's just my feeling, I mean.

MR. NUTBROWN: I have one question for the

1	Department. Did that job have a rolling straightedge
2	requirement on it?
3	MR. SHAFER: No.
4	MR. NUTBROWN: Eddie?
5	MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Nutbrown, going back to what I was
6	talking about a while ago, and I think this is relevant, on
7	the brick crosswalks that we put in up there, those had to
8	be tore out. And Bob and them replaced them with
9	StreetPrint.
10	In that case, they tore out the brick sidewalks, you
11	know, that had been moving, compacted material back in
12	there, and then paved over that, you know, with asphalt,
13	you know, and then left it there for, I mean, I remember
14	specifically looking at it, left it there for a month or
15	whatever.
16	And then about a month or so later, they came back,
17	laid it out, heated it, StreetPrinted it, and did
18	I mean, it's not, this wasn't unusual or whatever. I mean,
19	they physically had to do the same thing up there. I mean,
20	they couldn't, you know, it's not a
21	MR. NUTBROWN: All right. Does Mitchell Brothers
22	have any other comments they'd like to make at this time?
23	MR. MITCHELL: About any other items?
24	MR. AVITABLE: No.
25	MR. MITCHELL: Do you want to talk about any other

1	items or do you just want to stay
2	MR. NUTBROWN: Well, let's get through that one, and
3	then we'll go back to another item. I think that's the
4	major item in the whole thing. And I think we'll get it
5	closed up, and then we'll go back to the others. Tom?
6	MR. MONTGOMERY: Okay. I'm Archie Montgomery. I
7	have the advantage on this project of not being on it
8	during construction, so I'm speaking of what I have
9	researched and what the records have documented.
10	I guess the claim was about six different, five
11	different items. The revised drawings
12	MR. NUTBROWN: Archie, let me back up a minute.
13	MR. MONTGOMERY: Yeah.
14	MR. NUTBROWN: Being that we started with the
15	StreetPrint item, let's go through it and get the
16	StreetPrint item out of the way, and then we'll address the
17	other items.
18	MR. MONTGOMERY: Okay. The StreetPrint item, number
19	three, the spec says, the contract, StreetPrint or approved
20	equal. And that of course required the asphalt pavement to
21	be textured at these five intersections. And that's after
22	you get the density, required density. And while it's
23	still within the temperature range, you can imprint it.
24	The plan note on page, sheet five, it states that
25	contractor shall include all costs associated with

StreetPrint or approved equivalent StreetPrint texturing and a unit price bid for asphalt concrete fiction course.

And he mentioned that before, that there was no extra payment for or separate payment for StreetPrinting. It's all in the price of asphalt, which was bid at some \$350 per metric ton.

During the course of this, it was optional, as the contract says. You could just use StreetPrint or some other alternate. And of course there is a provision if you want to change specifications. And that's in 8-7. But we never received any requests to change the specifications, which would add more time that he is now requesting.

It's interesting to note that MBI's, Mitchell's work progress chart showed 38 days for subgrade base and surface construction. I don't, didn't determine that there was much subgrade base or work involved. It was mostly surface construction. So 38 days was in his work progress charts to accomplish this task.

And if you look at the daily record, he actually worked 33 days. So he proposed 38 days for the surface and actually used 33 days. Now, those 33 days came out of that 75 days, from May the 30th to 8-12, but there was about 39 days that the dailies did not show any work going on.

Something I don't understand here, all of the engineer's weekly summaries show Peavy working Tuesday

through Friday from 5-30 to 6-2. And then from 6-5 to 6-8, four days, Peavy was placing StreetPrint in both instances.

And then we jump to the week of 6-12 to 6-15, Monday through Thursday, contractor placed StreetPrint. And that goes on, placing StreetPrint and started painting StreetPrint only on July the 8th and placed StreetPrint during July the 10th through the 12th and then painted on July the 29th and July the 30th.

MR. NUTBROWN: Gentlemen, you'll have your turn. Would you wait a minute, please.

MR. MONTGOMERY: And Saturday, August the 12th, painted the StreetPrint. So in pulling up the new specifications, I went to the Web page and pulled up sample specifications for texturing asphalt pavement. And it's still an alternate. You can either place it and print it behind the paver. Or an alternate method, each place it talks about it says alternately can be printed at a later stage. And the contract allowed that. And that was the option of the contractor, to use that alternate imprinting method.

As I said before, there was no StreetPrint specifications for revised installation found and nothing that I found that they were even considering revised StreetPrint specifications.

1	MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. Jack, do you have any questions
2	of the Department?
3	MR. NORTON: Well, I had a question for the Peavy
4	representative.
5	MR. NUTBROWN: Wait, wait just a minute. Do
6	you have any questions?
7	MR. SIMMONS: Several of those days, I was trying
8	to you got started before I got my calender. Several of
9	those days you read, then, were during the week?
10	MR. MONTGOMERY: Yes.
11	MR. SIMMONS: Tuesday through whatever.
12	MR. MONTGOMERY: Tuesday through Friday. They're
13	listed on the dailies.
14	MR. SIMMONS: And that was StreetPrinting done?
15	MR. MONTGOMERY: Placed.
16	MR. SIMMONS: Placed.
17	MR. MONTGOMERY: Correct.
18	MR. SIMMONS: So it wasn't just weekend work?
19	MR. MONTGOMERY: Not according to the record.
20	MR. SHAFER: The painting was done during the
21	weekend.
22	MR. NORTON: That was my question. It was only
23	painting that was done during the weekends?
24	MR. SHAFER: Evidently.
25	MR. GWYNN: During the week, they would let us close

a lane. We'd set up a lane closure, one lane. Went all the way through the intersection. I'd stamp both sides of the intersection. Then we would switch traffic, flip it over to where we just stamped, close another lane.

But I did close lanes during the week to stamp. But I couldn't, you can't coat like that. And the reason you can't coat is if I was to come in here and coat this lane, let it sit there and dry and then come back and coat this lane and let it sit there before you could put traffic on it, you'll have a discoloration. He wanted me, excuse me, they wanted me to do it during the week. And I wouldn't because I couldn't.

Now, this may not mean a whole lot, but going back to what you were saying a while ago about the technique, we done some stamping last year in Monticello on a DOT job for CW Roberts Contracting.

Now, going through the heart of Monticello, there is no way in the world, and this is just another example, that I could have got in behind his paving train. We come back at a later date after the paving train had been gone, reheated, stamped, coated, and that was it. Just another example.

MR. NORTON: When you painted the intersections, you painted the whole intersection at one time is what you're telling us?

1	MR. GWYNN: Yes, sir. Now, there was because on
2	the weekend, they would let us close the whole
3	intersection. But, now, here goes back to what I said
4	earlier, the time, it may take me a whole weekend to do one
5	intersection because of the two colors.
6	But I may have closed two intersections at one time.
7	I didn't have them all closed, naturally, but there at the
8	Supreme Court and then the next one, I may have closed both
9	of those. We'd paint color up here, paint that same color,
10	go down and paint that color and then come back.
11	The reason you have to do things like that, going
12	back, this stuff has cement in it. If you look at the time
13	of the year that we was coating, it was extremely hot. We
14	put ice, I'd take bags of ice and put it down in the
15	coating to keep this coating from setting up. That's why
16	we'd start so early, where we can get it down, let Mother
17	Nature have it, because it takes me, I want eight to nine
18	hours of the road closed before opening for traffic.
19	MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. Freddie, have you got any other
20	questions, comments?
21	MR. SIMMONS: No, nothing yet.
22	MR. NUTBROWN: Have we covered the item regarding the
23	streetscape sufficiently?
24	MR. SHAFER: I'd like to make one comment. The

records show that the contractor placed StreetPrint and

placed it all before he started painting. And it would
seem that he could have painted some of the weekends after
he'd done an intersection. He could paint it that weekend
and then go do that and still keep placing it but come back
and paint it. It looks like he did all the work before he
started any painting at all.

MR. NUTBROWN: All right.

MR. MITCHELL: I'd like to comment about that.

MR. NUTBROWN: Go ahead, Eddie.

MR. MITCHELL: There was a comment made about something about the schedules saying 38 days for subgrade or whatever. I just want to make it clear that that doesn't have anything at all to do with StreetPrint.

StreetPrint was strictly just milling asphalt, putting back new asphalt, and then putting down the StreetPrint. The subgrade would have had to do with the sidewalks and the headwalls and other work not having anything to do with StreetPrint.

MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. Jack, have you got any questions?

MR. NORTON: No, I had all of mine answered.

MR. SIMMONS: The only thing was that assuming that it did take the whole 85 days, and I remember going in and out of that some while it was going on, but your maintenance of traffic, I guess you had all the, at least

1	all the side street signing and everything stayed up that
2	whole time, I guess, didn't it?
3	MR. AVITABLE: Just during the weekend where we were
4	working.
5	MR. SIMMONS: Because you were just scattered where
6	you worked up and down that five blocks or so.
7	MR. AVITABLE: You mean like "lane closed ahead" or
8	whatever?
9	MR. SIMMONS: Yeah. I mean, you had stuff out there
10	I guess, that whole time before you got through fully, I
11	guess, didn't you?
12	MR. MITCHELL: The permanent stuff would have been
13	there. And the temporary stuff we would have took on and
14	off
15	MR. SIMMONS: Just moved it
16	MR. MITCHELL: as they were working.
17	MR. SIMMONS: from work site to work site.
18	MR. MITCHELL: And on the days they were working or
19	could work and whatever. And then there would be certain
20	items that would be permanent that would stay there, you
21	know, throughout the job.
22	MR. AVITABLE: We also had deputies out there when we
23	closed the intersections, just for the time we had them
24	closed.

25

MR. SIMMONS: Did you that do that mostly every time

```
when you was out there, you had some off-duty officers?
 1
                MR. AVITABLE: I think so, didn't we, Bob?
 2
 3
                MR. GWYNN: (Indicating)
                               Yeah, two every time.
 4
                MR. AVITABLE:
                MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. We'll back up and let's discuss
 5
          item one, which was the drawing revisions. It's my
 6
 7
          understanding that the Department, on April 14th of 2000,
          agreed to 17 days. And how was this presented, Tom?
 8
 9
                MR. MONTGOMERY: Exhibit 1-5. Here you go.
10
                MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Nutbrown?
11
                MR. NUTBROWN: Yeah?
12
                MR. MITCHELL: Do you feel like for any reason we
13
          might need to have Bob?
14
                MR. NUTBROWN: I -- Jack, do you think that Bob needs
15
          to stay?
16
                MR. NORTON: No.
17
                MR. NUTBROWN: I think we've pretty well covered that
18
          item, Eddie.
19
                MR. MITCHELL: I'm sure we could give you a call if
20
          we need to or whatever.
21
                MR. NUTBROWN: No, I see no reason why you need --
22
          you can be excused.
23
                MR. GWYNN: Thank you.
24
                MR. MITCHELL: Appreciate it.
25
                MR. GWYNN: I hope I was helpful. If you have any
```

```
1
           questions, don't hesitate to call me.
  2
                 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you.
  3
           (Whereupon, Mr. Gwynn exited the room.)
           (Discussion off the record)
  4
 5
                 MR. NUTBROWN:
                                Tom, in reviewing your recap of the
 6
           thing, you agreed with the 26 days that was for the planned
          revisions?
 7
 8
                 MR. SHAFER: We agreed with, yes, uh-huh.
 9
                MR. NUTBROWN: And you agreed with the two days for
10
          the temporary sidewalk?
11
                MR. SHAFER: Yes, we did. Yes, sir.
12
                MR. NUTBROWN:
                               Okay.
13
                MR. SIMMONS:
                               Benches.
14
                MR. NUTBROWN:
                                I have a question on the benches.
15
          There was 90 days of procurement time. Mr. Mitchell, can
16
          you tell me why we waited until we were 60 days into the
17
          job before we even looked into the benches?
18
                MR. MITCHELL: Well, I'm sure we were looking into
19
          them.
                 Why don't you go ahead and tell him.
20
                MR. AVITABLE:
                               I don't think they put anything in
21
          writing to the Department until, I think there was a letter
22
          on March the 9th. But it was my understanding, I wasn't
23
          trying to procure the benches, but it was my understanding
24
          they had a, Kathy may know something about it, that they
25
```

were verbally calling the bench supplier well before that

trying to -- and finally they realized that there was a serious problem, that the model number shown in the plans was never even manufactured by the one they listed. And that was when they --

MR. NUTBROWN: Okay.

MR. AVITABLE: That's all I know about it. I don't think they thought it was going to be that big of a problem where they needed to be ordering benches, you know, 120 days or 180 days before they were going to need them, because that would be the last thing that went in, the benches.

So I don't think they thought they were going to need to order them. It would have been what, 90 days --

MR. NUTBROWN: The way I see it, the ordering was up to Mitchell Brothers, correct?

MR. AVITABLE: Right, right. It's just the problem came when what was stated in the plans as being the bench that they wanted was never even manufactured by the supplier. And like I said, since that was the last thing that went in, I think the project manager wasn't anticipating ordering them six months ahead of when we needed them, since there were only three benches, three or four benches.

MR. MITCHELL: Kathy, I just asked her, and she said Jerry Burris was the person that was handling that and that

he was, you know, calling about this early on. But I guess
until it got to the point that they just saw that this was
something they couldn't get it or whatever is when it
really become a problem.

MR. NUTBROWN: Well, it seems like to me it would

MR. NUTBROWN: Well, it seems like to me it would have taken one phone call to call out there and say, and they would have told you right then they didn't even manufacture that type of bench.

MR. AVITABLE: Right.

MR. MITCHELL: Sometimes that's the case. And then other times that's not the case. But, I mean, I follow what you're saying.

MR. NUTBROWN: A purchase order telling them I want four of this model or six of this model bench, to me, should have come out a lot sooner than 60 days into the job.

MR. MITCHELL: Being in construction and having seen some things on other jobs with other materials, sometimes, sometimes it gets ridiculous.

MR. NUTBROWN: Tom, on the benches?

MR. SHAFER: Well, our thought is that if they had ordered them in a timely fashion and found out that they weren't available, then we would have been able to mitigate. But we didn't even know it. I think that we finally gave them some time for the delivery time. And I

1	think, Archie, what else did we give them?
2	MR. MONTGOMERY: Yeah, there was a mention of a delay
3	for our approval of the substitute benches. And we
4	considered that along with the delivery time. And those
5	two items we considered. But, as you stated, there's that
6	long lapse between when the project time started on January
7	the 15th and then
8	MR. NUTBROWN: From what I see here
9	MR. MONTGOMERY: First ordered on the February 25 is
10	the first time we can see
11	MR. NUTBROWN: I see in your recap, Tom, that you
12	have the same number of days
13	MR. MONTGOMERY: That was requested.
14	MR. NUTBROWN: that was requested by the
15	contractor.
16	MR. MONTGOMERY: That's true, that's true.
17	MR. SHAFER: Yes, we do.
18	MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. I don't think there's any
19	reason to beat that horse to death anymore. The only other
20	thing left is the change or the start date over a weekend
21	during the Martin Luther King holiday. And I don't think
22	there's a lot we can talk about there.
23	I think the main thing we need to do is, I think we
24	can more or less bring the hearing to a close. I don't

think that there's a lot that we need to beat to death at

1	this point. We've covered all the items in there.
2	Eddie, I'll ask your folks, do you have anything that
3	you want to add to what we've already discussed regarding
4	any of the items that you had in your original claim?
5	(Discussion off the record)
6	MR. AVITABLE: Could I ask a question?
7	MR. NUTBROWN: Uh-huh.
8	MR. AVITABLE: There are days that I think we're in
9	agreement on both sides. But there were other days that
10	were affected by that, such as weather days and so forth.
11	Would those automatically get added, or is that something
12	we also have to talk about later?
13	MR. NUTBROWN: Well, we're going strictly by what's
14	in your original letter of claim. And you've given us an
15	outline in your claim as to what you were requesting and
16	what you're bringing to the board.
17	MR. AVITABLE: Right. I guess my point is if we look
18	at the, originally there was 96 days granted and the days
19	charged was 255, leaving 159. Then of the 87 days that
20	I guess what I'm saying is prior to the StreetPrint
21	discussion, that the Department and Mitchell Brothers were
22	in agreement on was 87 days in the package.
23	And during those 87 days, if you add those to the
24	original contract days, we've got two weather days, a
25	weekend for FSU graduation, and other things. My point is

it would take, you'd have another 12 days that would be 1 2 legitimate weather days and so forth that could be 3 reasonably deducted from that. 4 MR. MITCHELL: I guess what he's saying, should we 5 discuss -- and we're only looking at an additional 10 or 12 6 days. 7 MR. AVITABLE: That it would impact. 8 MR. MITCHELL: And I think that might have been 9 brought up earlier about the FSU graduation, blocking of 10 the intersection. The inspectors and the crews agreed not 11 to work that weekend because of all the traffic and some 12 things like that I guess we didn't ask for days, or I 13 guess it was verbally agreed upon then, but in the end we 14 didn't get them. We were charged those days instead of 15 getting credit for them. 16 MR. AVITABLE: If we were in agreement on those days, all these days should be also included, which, I mean, 17 18 we're only talking about, at the end, we're only talking 19 about a 60-day difference is my point instead of --20 MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. 21 MR. SHAFER: I'm going to have to think on this. Ιf 22 there were weather days, if there were weather days, I 23 quess --24 MR. NUTBROWN: No, I think he's, one of the things

he's talking about, I'm not sure about weather days, but

one of the things he's bringing up is the work stoppage as 1 far as graduation is concerned. 2 MR. SHAFER: That all should have been brought up, 3 4 then, I think, in his presentation, so we could have studied it at the time. 5 6 MR. NUTBROWN: I know that they're in the correspondence in the file. 7 MR. NORTON: It was 3-6, I believe, in yours, Tom, 8 Is that the letter you're talking about? 9 10 have that there? It's a March 15th, 2001, letter where 11 you've outlined, writing Mr. Brown. MR. AVITABLE: Right. That's right. That letter had 12 13 a lot of that information in it. MR. NORTON: You've got total additional contract 14 15 time requested is as follows. 16 MR. MITCHELL: Where is that and I'll let Tim look at 17 it? And that's in the State's rebuttal 18 MR. NORTON: 3-6. that it's 3-6. It's in yours too. I just don't know which 19 20 one. 21 MR. AVITABLE: That's in their rebuttal. 22 Okay, sir. MR. MITCHELL: 23 I quess what I'm saying is that with MR. AVITABLE: 24 the days that we're in agreement on, or saying agreement,

by the time you add up to the contract days, instead of

leaving 72 days, you have two weather days, the weekend for
FSU graduation, a weekend preceding July 4th and the July
4th holiday, Memorial Day, and the weekend preceding, and a
Sunday which affected Peavy's work on the StreetPrint,
which would bring it down to 62 days.
And all these, I'd just like to say, are normally
days that are given when contract time is going on, such as
Memorial Day and July 4th.
MR. SIMMONS: Is that time that you had already asked
the DOT for and they didn't grant it?
MR. AVITABLE: Well, we weren't, at that time we were
out of contract time. So what I'm saying is now if you add
these days that we're agreement on to the original contract
time, these days would all come into being during contract
time.
MR. SIMMONS: It occurred during the same time
period.
MR. AVITABLE: Right, so.
MR. SHAFER: Well, that's, yeah, that was the reason
that they wouldn't have been granted is because they were
out of contract time. Now, if this extends contract
time
MR. MONTGOMERY: I did go back and look at all the
dailies for weather days and that the number of days that

we offered, there were two weather days that would have

1	extended only two days.
2	MR. AVITABLE: Well, I'm in agreement with that. But
3	I'm listing the other normal holidays such as July 4th and
4	Memorial Day weekend, Memorial Day, and the FSU graduation,
5	which we suspended work. And I was told by the project
6	engineer that if we suspended work, which you're not aware
7	of that because you weren't on the job
8	MR. MONTGOMERY: I wasn't, no.
9	MR. AVITABLE: He told me that if we were out of time
10	but we did suspend work due to FSU graduation, that's when
11	we were closing down the intersections, that he would give
12	us the time for that weekend. So those are the days that
13	I'm asking for. And there's no way
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is that in writing?
15	MR. AVITABLE: you could have knowledge of that,
16	because, like I said, that was something he told me on the
17	job.
18	MR. NUTBROWN: There's a letter in the file that was
19	sent to the department on March 15th.
20	MR. SHAFER: Right, the Bill Hodge letter.
21	MR. NUTBROWN: And according to this, it was received
22	from Tallahassee Construction on March 21st.
23	MR. SHAFER: Right.
24	MR. NUTBROWN: It outlines that whole thing and

recaps that whole program.

1	MR. SIMMONS: Where in that letter, which of these
2	days is it that you would pick up those holidays that he's
3	talking about? That's not in that list there.
4	MR. NUTBROWN: And I don't see the holidays in this
5	list.
6	MR. SHAFER: It isn't.
7	MR. MITCHELL: July 4th is one of them, I know.
8	MR. AVITABLE: Saturday, April 29, was the FSU
9	MR. NUTBROWN: I see the FSU graduation in here for
10	one day.
11	MR. MITCHELL: The 4th is three days.
12	MR. NUTBROWN: Weather days not awarded. You have
13	three and they say two.
14	MR. SIMMONS: And you all agreed with the two.
15	MR. NUTBROWN: But I don't see anything in there
16	about the other days relating to Memorial Day or in your
17	letter to the Department.
18	MR. AVITABLE: I guess my point is I'm just asking
19	for the days that would normally, in other words, if you go
20	to special provisions on any job, July 4th is generally
21	accepted as a holiday that they give time for. And I'm
22	just saying I'd like to request that we get those days if
23	we're in agreement on the additional time.
24	MR. NORTON: I think what he's saying is if the
25	contract has been extended past those days, then you would

normally pick them up as a contract extension, and those 1 are the days he's asking for. 2 I understand that. If those 87 days go MR. SHAFER: 3 past those dates, then those dates would --4 Would automatically extend the contract. 5 MR. NORTON: MR. SHAFER: I would think so. 6 MR. SIMMONS: When was the contract suspended? What 7 was the date? I know it's here somewhere. I don't know 8 9 where it's at. MR. MONTGOMERY: What was your question? 10 MR. SIMMONS: When was the contract suspended as far 11 as you all were concerned? Then you'll add 87 days to 12 13 that, what you've already agreed to. MR. NUTBROWN: It would have been March sometime, 14 wouldn't it? The final original contract date. It should 15 16 be in the front of the recap. MR. NORTON: It's more like April something. 17 MR. NUTBROWN: It's in the letter. 18 19 MR. NORTON: Here is this. 20 MR. NUTBROWN: Yeah. Okay. That's what I was 21 looking for. MR. MONTGOMERY: 8-12? Is that --22 MR. SHAFER: What is it? 23 MR. NUTBROWN: But there's another sheet. 24 MR. NORTON: Yeah, there's another sheet that tells. 25

1	MR. NUTBROWN: No, go back.
2	MR. SIMMONS: Date work completed? 9-25.
3	MR. NORTON: That's when it was completed but there'
4	a
5	MR. NUTBROWN: There's another sheet. Go one more.
6	No.
7	MR. SHAFER: There ought to be a
8	MR. SIMMONS: Final acceptance. It says final
9	acceptance, September 25th.
10	MR. NUTBROWN: Yeah, but there's another one when it
11	says first day of construction and the
12	MR. NORTON: Started on January 18th, 2000, and goes
13	for 96 days is what it does.
14	MR. SIMMONS: Started January the 15th, right?
15	MR. MONTGOMERY: January the 15th, correct.
16	MR. SIMMONS: It goes 96 days.
17	MR. NUTBROWN: That's in April sometime.
18	MR. NORTON: April 13th or 14th.
19	MR. SIMMONS: How many days?
20	MR. NORTON: Ninety-six.
21	MR. SIMMONS: April the 19th if you start with the
22	15th.
23	MR. NORTON: No, start with the 18th.
24	MR. NUTBROWN: No, 15th.
25	MR. NORTON: Date on which work began, January 18th.

1	MR.	MONTGOMERY	: Time	stai	rted	on 1	the	15th.	
2	MR.	SIMMONS:	That's	that	thre	e-da	ay N	Martin	Luther

- 3 King.
- 4 MR. NORTON: Okay. That's fine. That's where
- 5 I missed.
- 6 MR. SIMMONS: April the 19th. And then how many?
- 7 Eighty-seven days from that?
- MR. NORTON: Okay. I agree with you.
- 9 MR. SIMMONS: Eighty-seven days would be July the
- 10 15th, which would have took you through July the 4th and
- 11 Memorial Day.
- 12 MR. SHAFER: And FSU graduation.
- MR. NUTBROWN: And FSU graduation.
- MR. SIMMONS: So how many days is all that? Two days
- per time period?
- MR. NUTBROWN: Two days or three days on a week?
- MR. AVITABLE: Monday was Memorial Day. And usually
- we request the weekend off of that, so, yeah, it's three is
- generally what we've requested.
- MR. SIMMONS: Three days for Memorial Day, two days
- 21 for July 4th --
- MR. NUTBROWN: Archie, I see you looking in there.
- Is there anything in the contract that specifies what
- 24 holidays or --
- MR. MONTGOMERY: I believe it does. That's what I'm

```
2
          look here.
 3
                MR. SHAFER: Well, whatever days they are, I would
          agree that they would probably be --
 5
                MR. SIMMONS: Well, if you've got three for Memorial
 6
          Day weekend, two for July the 4th weekend, and two for the
 7
          graduation, that's seven. And then the two weather days is
 8
          nine. And what else was there?
 9
                MR. AVITABLE: July 4 was on a Tuesday.
10
                MR. SHAFER: So that would only be one day.
11
                MR. AVITABLE: We didn't work that Monday or Tuesday
12
          for that.
13
                MR. SHAFER: Well, if --
14
                               I don't know how the spec -- it
                MR. AVITABLE:
15
          depends on when the holiday falls. Sometimes it gives the
16
          weekend, sometimes not.
17
                             I think in the normal conditions, if you
18
          would choose not to work on -- if it fell on Tuesday and
19
          you chose not to work on Monday, then that was by your
20
          choice. But the Tuesday you'd get.
21
                MR. SIMMONS: Well, that would be eight days, then.
22
                               July 23rd.
                MR. NUTBROWN:
23
                               And then July 23rd was a Sunday, and
                MR. AVITABLE:
24
          we got a weather day on the dailies for that, so that put
```

looking for. If not, the standard specs are there.

1

25

us into --

1	MR. SIMMONS: That's the third weather day, then?
2	MR. AVITABLE: Right, that was the third weather day.
3	MR. SIMMONS: So that's nine days those days.
4	MR. AVITABLE: Got us into that third weather day.
5	MR. NUTBROWN: So it's the 24th.
6	MR. AVITABLE: And that would be all of them.
7	(Discussion off the record)
8	MR. MONTGOMERY: Yeah, 864 lists holidays.
9	MR. NUTBROWN: It does list them?
10	MR. MONTGOMERY: Yeah.
11	MR. NUTBROWN: But does it you know, in some cases
12	they make now, it's always on a, where you're going
13	calender days, it's always do they allow the three days
14	over the weekend? Because in some cases you're required to
15	shut down as of like noon Friday.
16	MR. MONTGOMERY: Yeah, it lists those days. It says
17	contract time will be charged during these holiday periods
18	regardless of whether or not the contractor's operations
19	have been suspended.
20	MR. SHAFER: Okay. Then that modifies the spec.
21	MR. MONTGOMERY: Modifies that, yes.
22	MR. SHAFER: It says, okay, what they're saying is
23	that that time is calculated into the total time for the
24	job.
25	MR. NUTBROWN: Yeah, but we've gone by the total time

```
for the job at this time. Total time was 96 days.
  1
  2
           beyond that.
  3
                 MR. SHAFER: What do you say to that, Archie?
  4
                 MR. SIMMONS: So it looks like at 96 days compared to
  5
           100, what was the number you're at now?
  6
                 MR. AVITABLE:
                                Eighty-even.
 7
                 MR. NORTON: Well, 96 and 87 plus some.
 8
                 MR. NUTBROWN:
                                Plus eight.
 9
                 MR. AVITABLE: Plus whatever days.
10
                 MR. NORTON: Plus eight or nine.
11
                MR. NUTBROWN: So you've got 191 days.
12
                MR. NORTON: You came up with what? Nine, Freddie?
13
                MR. SIMMONS:
                              Yeah.
14
                MR. NORTON: One hundred and ninety-two days.
15
                MR. NUTBROWN: Mr. Mitchell, do you have any other
16
          things to add to your presentation?
17
                MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, I think we'll just, I
18
          think we'll rest at this point and basically, you know,
19
          just make the statement that I think Tim and the people
20
          working on the job, that we tried to do it and do a good
21
          job. I think we're proud of the job.
22
                We stayed on the job the whole time. We never left
23
          the job and, you know, just weren't out there working.
24
         were always there. We worked with DOT when the legislature
```

came in. I know we only got two days for that. In my

```
1
           opinion, we should have gotten a lot more, where we
  2
           basically stopped working on sidewalks and things and tore
  3
           stuff out and put it back temporarily.
  4
                 I believe the DOT is well satisfied with the job.
  5
           I certainly think they should be proud of it. And I think
 6
          we'll just, you know, I hope we can get the time that we
 7
          need for the StreetPrint and the other things so that, you
 8
          know, we don't get hurt too bad on this thing.
 9
                Like I said, I just want to say that I feel like we
10
          did what we had to do out there, and we didn't never leave
11
          the job. We were out there trying to get the job done and
12
          do it right. And I'll just leave that. Have you got any
13
          comments, Tim?
14
                MR. AVITABLE: (Shaking head negatively)
15
                MR. NUTBROWN:
                               Mr. Shafer, do you have any other
16
          comments?
17
                MR. SHAFER:
                             The department is not unhappy with the
18
          final product.
19
                MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. Mr. Simmons, do you have any
20
          further questions of either party?
21
                MR. SIMMONS: Just let me, I want to see that holiday
22
          (examining document). No, I don't have any questions.
                                                                  I'm
```

MR. NUTBROWN: Okay. Mr. Norton, do you have any other questions of either party?

just looking at this.

1	MR. NORTON: No questions.
2	THE COURT: Okay. The hearing is hereby closed. The
3	board will meet immediately following this and try to
4	deliberate. And once we get the transcript from the
5	hearing, it will be about six weeks. And we'll try to
6	promptly get the order out. And with that, we're done.
7	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 10:28 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON

I, MINDY MARTIN, Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

Dated this 1th day of February, 2003

Public, State of Florida

